

On the Definition of Fluctuating Temperature

B. H. Lavenda*

Università degli Studi, Camerino 62032 (MC) Italy

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

The Maxwell distribution is derived from the F -distribution in the limit where one of the degrees of freedom of the χ^2 variates tends to infinity. The estimator of the temperature is consistent, and, hence coincides with the temperature of the heat reservoir in the asymptotic limit; it is also unbiased and efficient. Consequently, there is a contradiction between indentifying the Lagrange multiplier in the variational formalism that Tsallis and co-workers use to maximize his nonadditive entropy with respect to escort expectation values in order to derive the Student t - and r -distributions and the physical meaning of these variables. Only in the asymptotic limit when these distributions become the χ^2 -distributions of MBG statistics can the Lagrange multiplier be interpreted as the inverse temperature. Hence, there is no generalization of the χ^2 -distributions that can be made which involves interpreting the Lagrange multiplier as the inverse temperature. The frequency interpretation of the fluctuating temperature is contrasted with the Bayesian approach that treats a parameter to be estimated as a random variable which is equipped with a probability distribution.

Consider a system with m degrees of freedom in thermal contact with a reservoir, at temperature t_0 , with n degrees of freedom. The random velocities of the particles in the reservoir, $v_1, \dots, v_n$, as well as those of the system, $v_1, \dots, v_m$, are independent and normally distributed $(0, t_0)$.

A ‘fluctuating’ temperature can be defined as

$$t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \quad (1)$$

which becomes the true temperature t_0 , in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The fluctuating temperature (1) is distributed according to χ^2 ,

$$f_n(t) = \left(\frac{n}{2t_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{t^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} e^{-nt/2t_0}, \quad (2)$$

whose moments are given in terms of the temperature of the heat bath, t_0 .

The speed, $v = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2}$, like the fluctuating temperature, (1), is distributed as χ^2 ,

$$f_m(v) = \frac{2}{(2t_0)^{\frac{m}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} v^{m-1} e^{-v^2/2t_0}, \quad (3)$$

and their ratio

$$\frac{v^2}{mt} = \frac{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2},$$

is beta-distributed [1]. This is to say that since v^2 and t are independent by hypothesis, their ratio will be distributed by the integrals of the product of their probability density functions (pdfs) over the domain defined by the inequalities $t > 0$ and $0 < v^2 < mt$. Introducing a

new variable $u = v^2/mt$, the probability that $v^2/mt \leq x$ is

$$F_{m,n}(x) = a_{m,n} \int_0^x u^{\frac{m}{2}-1} du \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{m}{2}+\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-(n+mu)t/2t_0} dt,$$

where

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{m^{\frac{m}{2}} n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(2t_0)^{\frac{m}{2}+\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}.$$

The integral over t is a gamma integral so that

$$F_{m,n}(x) = \frac{m^{\frac{m}{2}} n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{B(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2})} \int_0^x \frac{u^{\frac{m}{2}-1}}{(n+mu)^{\frac{m}{2}+\frac{n}{2}}} du,$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the beta function. Differentiation then yields the pdf

$$f_{m,n}(x) = \frac{m^{\frac{m}{2}} n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{B(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2})} \frac{x^{\frac{m}{2}-1}}{(n+mx)^{\frac{m}{2}+\frac{n}{2}}}, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty \quad (4)$$

which is known as the F -distribution in honor of its discover, R. A. Fisher.

It is quite remarkable that the pdf (4) is independent of the true temperature of the heat bath, t_0 . The true temperature of the reservoir is irrelevant to the probability that $v^2/mt \leq x$. There is a considerable chance that values of the speed v greater than $\sqrt{mt_0}$ are equally as likely to be attributed to values of the fluctuating temperature t lower than t_0 .

In the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the F -distribution (4) transforms into the χ^2 -distribution

$$f_{m,\infty}(x) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2}m)^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} x^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}mx}. \quad (5)$$

*Electronic address: bernard.lavenda@unicam.it

In particular, for $m = 3$ and $x = \frac{1}{3}(v^2/t_0)$, where $v = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 v_i^2}$, (5) becomes the Maxwell speed distribution

$$f_{3,\infty}(v) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t_0^3}} v^2 e^{-v^2/2t_0} \quad (6)$$

in 3D for a particle of unit mass. This shows that for a finite size, n , the temperature fluctuates, and, only asymptotically tends to the temperature of the heat reservoir, $t_0 = \beta_0^{-1}$, where β_0 is the precision of the distribution [2].

Tsallis and co-workers [3] have attempted to generalize the Maxwellian, (6) in order to justify their variational procedure in maximizing the nonadditive entropy

$$S_q(f(x)) = \frac{1 - \int dx f^q(x)}{q - 1}, \quad (7)$$

with respect to the so-called escort probability averages [4], *e.g.*,

$$\int dx x^2 f^q(x) = \langle x^2 \rangle_q. \quad (8)$$

In the case where the characteristic exponent $q > 1$, they get the Student t -distribution [3]

$$f(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(q-1)}}{B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{q-1})} \frac{1}{[1 + \beta(q-1)x^2]^{1/(q-1)}}, \quad (9)$$

where β is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint (8). In the case where $q < 1$ they obtain the Student r -distribution

$$f(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(1-q)}}{B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1-q})} (1 - \beta(1-q)x^2)^{1/(1-q)}. \quad (10)$$

It will be readily appreciated that (9) and (10) are not pdfs for x but only the product βx^2 , or, equivalently, x^2/t is the ratio of two χ^2 -variates. In other words, β is not a mere Lagrange multiplier for the constraint (8), but, rather, is a random variable itself. Since $\beta^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2$, it will only converge in probability to the absolute temperature in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. And, in this limit, both (9) and (10) transform into χ^2 -distributions of Maxwell-Boltzmann-Gibbs (MBG) statistics. Moreover, we will now show that the physical mechanisms leading to (9) and (10) are different, making it difficult to believe that they can be derived from the same variational formalism.

The Student t -distribution (9) is a particular case of the F -distribution,

$$f_{m,n}(z) = \frac{2m^{\frac{m}{2}} n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{B(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2})} \frac{z^{m-1}}{(mz^2 + n)^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2}}}, \quad (11)$$

for $m = 1$, where

$$z^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2}. \quad (12)$$

If β^{-1} is to be identified as the temperature [5, 6], or the variance of the normal distribution t_0 , then the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ must be taken in (11), which gives the 1D Maxwell distribution. In other words, β^{-1} cannot be identified with a fixed, constant temperature unless the number of degrees of freedom of the reservoir is allowed to increase without limit. But then the F -distribution reduces to the χ^2 -distribution with m degrees of freedom (3), where we have set $z = v/\sqrt{mt_0}$.

If we define the fractional energy as

$$x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2},$$

then $z^2 = (n/m)x/(1-x)$, and the fractional energy, x , satisfies the beta pdf

$$f_{m,n}(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{m}{2}-1} (1-x)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{B(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2})}. \quad (13)$$

Introducing the fluctuating temperature according to (1) and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (13) gives the χ^2 -distribution (3).

If we set x equal to the fractional energy E_1/E , where E_1 is the energy of subsystem 1 and $E_2 = E - E_1$ is the energy of subsystem 2, with E as the total energy, then (13) becomes the composition law for the structure function [7]

$$\Omega(E) = \int_0^E \Omega_1(E_1) \Omega_2(E - E_1) dE_1 = \frac{E^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} - 1}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2})}.$$

The average energy of subsystem 1 is

$$\langle E_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega(E)} \int_0^E E_1 \Omega_1(E_1) \Omega_2(E - E_1) dE_1 = \frac{m}{m+n} E.$$

The ratio of the expected energy of subsystem 1 to the total energy is just the fraction of degrees of freedom of subsystem 1.

However, no temperature can be defined in this micro-canonical setting. Placing the system in thermal contact with a heat reservoir of fixed temperature β_0^{-1} is formally described by the Laplace transform

$$\beta_0^{-\frac{m}{2}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{E_1^{\frac{m}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} e^{-\beta_0 E_1} dE_1$$

from which the normalized pdf follows

$$f_m(E_1|\beta_0) = \beta_0^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{E_1^{\frac{m}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} e^{-\beta_0 E_1}. \quad (14)$$

This gamma pdf for the energy of subsystem 1 becomes the χ^2 -distribution (3) under the substitution $E_1 = \frac{1}{2}v^2$. The canonical ensemble has built into it the asymptotic

limit of an infinite heat reservoir, consisting of subsystem 2, so that a fluctuating temperature cannot be defined in a *frequency* sense. However, the temperature of the heat bath is usually unknown, and it must be inferred by making measurements on the energy of the small subsystem 1. Therefore, the estimator of the inverse temperature, β , becomes a function of the sample values of the energy and because the latter fluctuate, the former will also fluctuate. The pdf of β will not be in the frequency sense, but, rather, in the sense of degree of belief that certain values of β are more likely than others [8].

For instance, Bayes's theorem, giving the posterior pdf of β as

$$\tilde{f}(\beta|E_1) = \frac{f(E_1|\beta)\tilde{f}(\beta)}{\int_0^\infty f(E_1|\beta)\tilde{f}(\beta)d\beta}, \quad (15)$$

where $\tilde{f}$ represents a pdf in the sense of degree of belief [2, p. 1], would give a χ^2 -distribution for β instead of t , as in (2), if Jeffreys's rule for the prior pdf $\tilde{f}(\beta)$ is employed [8, eqn (4.97)]:

$$\tilde{f}(\beta|E_1) = E_1^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{\beta^{\frac{m}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} e^{-\beta E_1}. \quad (16)$$

Whereas (14) is a gamma pdf for E_1 , (16) is a gamma pdf for β . This is in contradistinction to the χ^2 -distribution for the temperature t , (2). In fact, (16) implies that the temperature be distributed according to an inverse gamma pdf.

Bayes's theorem (15) tells us how the pdf of the beliefs about β is modified from our previous knowledge, contained in $\tilde{f}(\beta)$, as a result of the measurement, E_1 . For the exponential family of pdfs, (14), even a single measurement, E_1 , is a *sufficient* statistic. When little is known other than the parameter's value can have any value from 0 to ∞ , Jeffreys's rule is to take its logarithm as uniformly distributed.

In contrast to the Bayes's interpretation of β in terms of degree of belief, the fluctuating temperature (1) is to be interpreted in the frequency sense, since it is distributed as χ^2 in (2). The average of the mean of v_i^2 found from (3)

$$\frac{1}{m} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^2 \right\rangle = t_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \quad (17)$$

gives the equipartition result. The second equality in (17) is the definition of the absolute temperature, and it asserts that the arithmetic mean of the squares of the velocities of all the particles in the reservoir, in the limit as their number tends to increase without limit, is equal to the arithmetic mean of the sum of the expectation of the squares of the velocities of the finite number of particles comprising the system. The latter can also be equal to the number of degrees of freedom of a single particle, $m = 3$.

The estimator (1) is said to be a *consistent* insofar as n increases indefinitely it converges in probability to the value (17) [1, p. 351]. This is guaranteed by the strong law of large numbers: t is the mean of random variables, v_i^2 , which are independent and normally distributed [2, p. 30]. The χ^2 -distribution (2) shows that t is an *unbiased* estimator in that $\langle t \rangle = t_0$, whatever the value of n . The variance of (2), $2t_0^2/n$, shows that t is an *efficient* estimator.

The Student r -distribution (10) reflects a different physical process for defining the 'temperature' of a particle. All the particles of the system now comprise the reservoir, including the test particle under examination. Consequently, the numerator and denominator of

$$r = \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{t}} = \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2}}$$

are not independent so that the previous method used to derive the F -distribution (11), in general, and the Student t -distribution (9), in particular, will not work.

However, by defining the variable [1, p. 240]

$$y = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n} r^2}} = \frac{v_1}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^n v_i^2},$$

the numerator and denominator become independent of each other. Then since y is distributed according to the Student t -distribution with $n-1$ instead of n , r will be distributed according to

$$f_n(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2})} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-3)}, \quad (18)$$

which is the Student r -distribution (10), where $|r| \leq \sqrt{n}$. Although Tsallis and co-workers derive (18) from their variational formalism for $q < 1$, it involves a different physical process in comparison to the t -distribution, (9), which they get for $q > 1$.

Since v_1 can be both negative and positive, the r -distribution will be U -shaped, like the arcsine distribution, for $n = 2$, having a minimum at the mean $\langle r \rangle = 0$. For $n = 3$, it becomes the rectangular distribution since $-\sqrt{n} \leq r \leq \sqrt{n}$. While, for $n > 3$, the r -distribution becomes unimodal with mean zero and variance $\langle r^2 \rangle = 1$, for all values of n . Only in the asymptotic limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ does the r -distribution transform into the single particle Maxwellian, where

$$\langle v_1^2 \rangle = t_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2. \quad (19)$$

In comparison with (17), (19) reflects only the single particle, or single degree of freedom, nature of the equipartition law.

Alternatively, if we allow m to increase without limit, while keeping n finite and fixed, the F -distribution (11)

transforms into the inverted gamma pdf

$$f_{\infty,n}(z) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{z^{n+1}} e^{-n/2z^2}, \quad (20)$$

since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

However, on consulting (12) in the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we find $z = \sqrt{nt_0}/v$. Upon introducing this transformation into the inverted gamma pdf (20) we get the χ^2 -distribution (3) with n in place of m . Hence, even though the Fisher pdf (11) is not symmetric in the degrees of freedom, m and n , the asymptotic limits are the same, *i.e.*, $f_{\ell,\infty}(v) = f_{\infty,\ell}(v)$, for systems of ℓ degrees of freedom.

On the basis of the foregoing analysis we conclude that temperature, which is the true value or the variance of the distribution (3), can only be introduced into MBG statistics, since the random variables, v_i^2 are independent and normally distributed, and their mean tends to the absolute temperature, t_0 , by the strong law of large numbers. The fluctuating temperature (1) is distributed according to the χ^2 -distribution (2). This, however, is in blatant contradistinction with the identification of β as

a Lagrange multiplier in the derivation of the Student t - and r - distributions from the variational formalism that seeks to maximize the nonadditive entropy (7) with respect to the escort probability averages, (8).

-
- [1] H. Cramér, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics* (Princeton U. P., Princeton NJ, 1946), pp. 241–243.
 - [2] D. V. Lindley, *Introduction to Probability and Statistics*, part 2, (Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1970), p. 8.
 - [3] A. M. C. de Souza and C. Tsallis, *Physica A* **236** (1997) 52–57.
 - [4] C. Beck and F. Schlögl, *Thermodynamics of Chaotic Systems* (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1993), p. 53.
 - [5] C. Beck, “Dynamical foundations of nonextensive statistical mechanics” (cond-mat/0105374).
 - [6] R. Silva, A. R. Plastino and J. A. S. Lima, “A Maxwellian path to the q -nonextensive velocity distribution function” (cond-mat/0201503).
 - [7] A. I. Khinchin, *Mathematical Foundations of Statistical Mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1949), p. 75.
 - [8] B. H. Lavenda, *Statistical Physics: A Probabilistic Approach* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1991), § 4.3 and § 4.11.